May 22, 2018

The Honorable Robert Lighthizer
U.S. Trade Representative
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
600 17th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006


Docket Number: USTR-2018-0005

Dear Mr. Ambassador:

These comments are being filed on behalf of the U.S. Global Value Chain Coalition – a coalition of U.S. companies and associations – that is on a mission to educate policymakers and the public about the American jobs and the domestic economic growth our companies generate through their global value chains.

Global value chains include those jobs we traditionally associate with creation of a product – such as those in a factory or on a farm – as well as those positions involved in the conceiving of and delivery of those products – such as design, marketing, research and development, logistics, compliance and sales. Simply put, the global value chain accounts for all the jobs that add value to the good or service sold in the global marketplace. These positions are essential to the creation or sale of a good or service. Moreover, these jobs are primarily here in the United States and are usually high-paying, accounting for much of the value that is paid at the register.

Thank you for holding these important hearings, and receiving comments from thousands of companies, individuals, and organizations on the impact of proposed tariffs on the U.S. economy.

The cumulative three days provided insight into the complex and integrated array of U.S. global value chains that are reacting to and being disrupted by the proposed tariffs on Chinese products. The consequences of the Administration’s ultimate decision, as well as the underlying negotiations, will be significant for U.S. jobs in all fifty states and across many industries.

Even during panels in which parties argued for adding items to the proposed 301 list, the importance of global value chains to U.S. jobs was made abundantly clear.
For example, one manufacturer acknowledged that the gains from adding a product to the tariff list might come at the expense of some brick and mortar stores, meaning a loss of U.S. jobs. Some noted that they are now in the position of having to ask for tariffs on finished products, because they are already facing extra tariffs – recently levied by the Administration – on the inputs that they, but not their competitors, use. Still others complained that high tariffs the U.S. already charges on inputs – such as textiles – discourages manufacturing and additional job creation in the U.S.

Those arguing against tariffs made similar cases, connecting their ability to trade with the jobs they create throughout the United States. Some asked that the tariffs not be levied on products of interest to them, describing a need for inputs and imported equipment necessary for their U.S. job creation activities. Others argued against tariffs on finished products, pointing the many jobs that the design, logistics, distribution, and sale of those products create.

Of course, long-term, sustainable resolution of the underlying concerns related to intellectual property rights and forced technology transfer are also critical for U.S. job creation – a point made by many witnesses. Many testifying also expressed their support for holding our trading partners accountable and for making sure countries adhere to their international obligations.

Throughout the hearing, the Administration raised important questions on the impact of tariffs on U.S. manufacturers and consumers, and the sourcing options currently available to American companies if tariffs were imposed on China. Many pointed out that it would take months, if not years to shift supply chains to alternate sourcing locations at a significant cost. We appreciate the thoughtfulness of these questions, as they show a sensitivity to the role global value chains play in supporting millions of U.S. jobs.

We encourage that continued deliberation in the coming weeks and months, particularly on how such tariffs could adversely affect U.S. global value chains and their impact on U.S. jobs and the U.S. economy.

Sincerely,

The U.S. Global Value Chain Coalition